



**Office of the Mining and Lands Commissioner**  
**Annual Report 2016-2017**

# Office of the Mining and Lands Commissioner

700 Bay Street, Suite 2400  
Toronto, ON M5G 1Z6

Telephone: (416) 314-2320  
Fax: (416) 314-2327

Disponible en français

For an accessible version of this document in English and French, please visit:

**[www.ontario.ca/omlc](http://www.ontario.ca/omlc)**

**Mining and Lands  
Commissioner**

Box 2400  
24th Floor  
700 Bay Street  
Toronto, Ontario  
M5G 1Z6

Tel. No.: (416) 314-2320  
Fax No.: (416) 314-2327

**Commissaire aux mines et  
aux terres**

C.P. 2400  
700, rue Bay  
24<sup>e</sup> étage  
Toronto (Ontario)  
M5G 1Z6

Téléphone : (416) 314-2320  
Télécopieur: (416) 314-2327



The Honourable Kathryn McGarry  
Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry  
6<sup>th</sup> Floor, Room 6630, Whitney Block  
99 Wellesley Street West  
Toronto, Ontario  
M7A 1W3

December 15, 2017

Dear Minister McGarry:

Re: Office of the Mining and Lands Commissioner 2016 – 2017 Annual Report

I am pleased to submit to you our 2016-2017 Annual Report. This report reflects the office's activities for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2017.

Yours very truly,

Linda Kamerman  
Mining and Lands Commissioner

c: Michael Gravelle, Minister, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines  
Bill Thornton, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry  
David de Launay, Deputy Minister, Ministry of Northern Development and Mines

# Office of the Mining and Lands Commissioner 2016-2017 Annual Report

## Table of Contents

About The Office of the Mining and Lands Commissioner (OMLC) ..... 3

Who We Are ..... 3

Vision..... 3

Mandate and Mission ..... 3

Diversity, Inclusion and Accessibility ..... 3

Legislative Authority ..... 5

Mining Act Modernization..... 7

Year in Review ..... 8

OMLC Caseload Management ..... 8

OMLC Caseload 2014 – 2016..... 8

Statistical Performance Reporting ..... 8

Dispute Resolution - Mediation ..... 9

OMLC Statistical Caseload Resolution Data Reporting 2014 -2017 ..... 9

OMLC Orders Issued by the Commissioner 2014 - 2016..... 11

OMLC Appeals/Applications before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, the Court of Appeal for Ontario, or the Supreme Court of Canada..... 11

Modernizing Processes and Building Capacity ..... 12

Recruitment of Appointees..... 12

Financial Summary ..... 12

OMLC Expenditures 2014 - 2017 ..... 12

OMLC Appointees..... 14

OMLC Staff..... 14

## **About The Office of the Mining and Lands Commissioner (OMLC)**

### **Who We Are**

The Mining and Lands Commissioner (Commissioner or MLC) is appointed by the Lieutenant-Governor in Council pursuant to the Ministry of Natural Resources Act. The Office of the Mining and Lands Commissioner (OMLC) receives administrative support from the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry (MNR) for purposes of estimates, budgeting and IT and the Commissioner's point of contact and reporting is through the Deputy Minister.

### **What We Do**

The OMLC is an independent adjudicative tribunal responsible for hearing and deciding matters under legislation administered by the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines (MNDM) and the MNR.

### **Vision**

We are client-focused and committed to excellence in the resolution of mining and lands disputes for all clients.

### **Mandate and Mission**

#### **Mandate**

The OMLC determines appeals, applications, and referrals and conducts inquiries.

#### **Mission**

The OMLC strives for excellence and demonstrates the highest standards of public service in:

- Delivering modern, fair, accessible, effective and timely proceedings, decisions and dispute resolution.
- Demonstrating consistency in procedures and outcomes while remaining responsive to differing cases and party needs, and to the evolving development of the law.
- Responding to the needs of diverse stakeholder communities.
- Resolving disputes, within the applicable legislative framework to support strong, healthy communities and to achieve fair and effective outcomes.

### **Diversity, Inclusion and Accessibility**

#### **Accessibility**

- Publications, communications and facilities, including hearing and mediation rooms, provide full and equitable access.
- Diversity is fully respected and reflected.
- Processes are designed in a way that facilitates informed participation.
- Proceedings are conducted in a manner that is welcoming and respectful.
- Practices and procedures provide for a meaningful, effective opportunity to be heard on the relevant issues.

### Fairness

- Proceedings are conducted impartially. Decisions are principled and based on the facts, applicable law, regulations, and merits of each case.

### Transparency

- Procedures, rules, and decisions are clear and readily available to the public. Reasons for decisions explain how the decision was reached.

### Timeliness

- Proceedings are conducted in a timely and expeditious manner.
- Decisions are issued as soon as possible after a proceeding.

### Integrity, Professionalism and Independence

- Appointees and staff act with honesty, integrity and professionalism, exhibiting the highest standards of public service.
- Appointees and staff work together to build public confidence in the OMLC and the administration of justice.
- The OMLC must be, and be seen to be, neutral, unbiased and independent from influence.

### OMLC's Diversity, Inclusion and Accessibility Plan goals include:

- reinforcing a strong workplace free from harassment and discrimination;
- embedded diversity objectives/outcomes, processes and services; and
- responding to the needs of diverse stakeholder groups.

The OMLC continues to improve accessibility and plans to ensure it meets the requirements of the *Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA)* and the *Integrated Accessibility Standards Regulation (IASR)*. Some of the OMLC's recent education and awareness initiatives relating to diversity, inclusion and accessibility include:

- Completing training for all staff on the "IASR Information and Communications Standard," which sets out the requirement to provide accessible formats and communication supports for persons with disabilities.
- Completing training for management and supervisory staff on "IASR Employment Standards," which sets out employee rights regarding accommodation.

### Accommodation Requests

The OMLC actively offers to provide accommodation to clients when accessing its services. OMLC's staff have been trained to recognize and respond to requests for the accommodation of clients. All correspondence and hearing notices include a notation offering accommodation, upon request.

### Accessible Built Environment

The OMLC identified and addressed the needs of its staff and clients at its offices located at 700 Bay Street in Toronto through:

- Retrofitting the accessible washroom for staff and clients to ensure it meets current AODA and IASR standards.
- Making improvements to its accessible hearing room, including the installation of an assistive hearing system.

#### Accessible Documents

OMLC staff has been trained to and are responsible for reviewing all forms, documents and procedures to ensure documents are formatted using best practices for accessibility. All decisions are available in an accessible format on the OMLC website. Accessible formats and communication supports continue to be available, upon request.

#### Accessible Employment and Hiring Practices

OMLC senior management continue the practice of offering and providing accommodation throughout the recruitment process. Managers actively reach out to staff to offer and put in place accommodation plans, where required. All staff and members who require evacuation assistance have emergency evacuation plans in place.

#### **Legislative Authority**

The purpose of the Mining Act is “to encourage prospecting, staking and exploration for the development of mineral resources, in a manner consistent with the recognition and affirmation of existing Aboriginal and treaty rights found in section 35 of the Constitution Act, 1982, including the duty to consult, and to minimize the impact of these activities on public health and safety and the environment.” Until 1986, responsibility for mining was included in the Ministry of Natural Resources Act. Thereafter, the Mining Division was moved to the Ministry of Northern Affairs, but responsibility and oversight of the OMLC remained with MNR(F).

The Mining Act functions as a specialized and comprehensive administration of mining lands within the province under the general administration of the MNLM and various ministry personnel. Through delegated authority to Ministry officials, this authority and the attendant determination of rights created by the legislation rests primarily with the Provincial Mining Recorders, Directors of Mine Rehabilitation or the Minister.

In carrying out responsibilities under the Mining Act, the Commissioner exercises a varied and broad jurisdiction involving nearly 90 statutory functions dealing primarily with unpatented lands. In addition, there is jurisdiction over specified mining land interests as well as the right to grant easements over adjacent patented lands of third parties for extensive access and servicing purposes in connection with the proper working of a mine. The Commissioner has exclusive jurisdiction to decide any matter which arises under the Mining Act with several exceptions. The Commissioner has no jurisdiction to cancel or annul Crown patents. The Construction Lien Act process operates independently of the Mining Act and Commissioner’s processes, with limited exceptions. Also, dispute resolution and aboriginal consultation processes with Aboriginal communities and the assertion or determination of Treaty rights under the Mining Act fall outside of the Commissioner’s jurisdiction. The Ontario Superior Court of Justice has acknowledged

the Commissioner's exclusive jurisdiction and further acknowledged that the Commissioner has concurrent jurisdiction with that Court involving private civil and property rights arising out of its proceedings which, if not waived, may not be challenged later. The Superior Court may also call on the Commissioner as a Referee in a proceeding or question. Provision is also made for the movement of matters between the Commissioner and the Superior Court of Justice, upon the application of a party in either case or at the initiation of the Court in the latter, as the Court deems most appropriate.

The Commissioner has, since 1982, by permanent regulation<sup>1</sup>, been assigned the powers and duties of the Minister of Natural Resources under the Ministry of Natural Resources Act to hear appeals from the decisions of conservation authorities made under the Conservation Authorities Act regarding their refusal to grant permission to a property owner for the development of lands within floodplains, hazardous lands, dynamic beaches and wetlands. Hence, the "Lands" portion of the Commissioner's title refers to natural resources jurisdiction. Substantive and procedural requirements are set out in Part VI of the Mining Act. Also, participating municipalities may appeal the levy of their discounted equalized assessment for the operation and maintenance of flood control structures.

The Commissioner hears classification issues concerning managed forests and conservation lands, both programs administered by the MNRF, in relation to property tax assessment appeals. These appeals are filed with the Assessment Review Board (the ARB) pursuant to the Assessment Act, offering a one window approach to assessment appeals. The ARB administers all appeals and refers those having managed forest or conservation land classification issues for initial determination by the Commissioner. Any outstanding valuation issues will then be determined by the ARB.

The expertise of the Commissioner in issues concerning natural resources was recognized when jurisdiction for managed forest classifications under the Assessment Act was added in 1998. The conservation land appeals had previously been provided for by an Order-In-Council and were transferred to the Assessment Act at that time as well. The office is also involved indirectly at the ARB triage stage by asking MPAC to apply its decision, re-assess the property(ies) and use that information to follow up with the parties as to whether they still wish to pursue their valuation question on appeal with the ARB or, whether the result of the MLC decision(s) has addressed their concerns so that the appeal file may be closed.

---

<sup>1</sup> In 1971, the **Ministry of Natural Resources Act** was amended to provide the assignment of these powers and duties to the Commissioner. Each appeal would involve a separate regulation naming the individual appellant(s) and the specific conservation authority involved, with the first being O.Reg. 473/74, until O.Reg. 364/82 provided for a general assignment of the Ministers powers and duties to the Commissioner for all conservation authority appeals.



The Commissioner has been *persona designata* for issues under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Similarly, under the Aggregate Resources Act, appeals from refusals by the Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry to issue a permit to excavate aggregate or topsoil that is not the property of the Crown, or the revocation of or changes to conditions involving existing aggregate permits are heard by the Commissioner, resulting in recommendations after a hearing.

The Commissioner hears both pooling and unitization applications under the Oil, Gas and Salt Resources Act. In connection with such applications, access to subsurface oil, gas and salt resources may be provided in accordance with section 175 of the Mining Act. The Commissioner also hears appeals of refusals of the Minister to grant permission to transfer a well license or permit and makes recommendations.

The Ministry of Natural Resources Act provides that the Commissioner may sit alone on hearings, appoint one Deputy Commissioner to sit or must hear matters as a panel of three. Ongoing reliance on the Statutory Powers Procedure Act that parties waive this requirement has not been consistently successful.

## **Mining Act Modernization**

The origins of the Mining Act date back to the 1864, but The Mines Act of 1906 is the true predecessor of today's legislation, prompted by the huge silver discovery in Cobalt, Ontario in 1903. Prior to today's modernization efforts, the most recent significant updates to the Act became effective in the early 1990s, with new legislation at that time focusing on vast changes to staking rules, a shift to mining claims comprised of multiple claim units, dispensing with certificates of interest coupled with limits imposed on staking disputes, and environmental and rehabilitation matters.

In 2009, Bill 173 - An Act to Amend the Mining Act (S.O. 2009 c.21), was passed into law. The modernization process is intended to promote mineral exploration and development in a manner that recognizes Aboriginal and treaty rights, to introduce processes that are more respectful of private landowners, and to minimize the impact of mineral exploration and development on the environment. These commitments were previously expressed in Ontario's first-ever Mineral Development Strategy released in 2006 and reaffirmed in July 2008 when Ontario's Premier announced steps to modernize the Mining Act.

MNDM is modernizing the Mining Act and implementing the changes prescribed by Bill 173 using a phased-in approach. While some changes came into effect upon Royal Assent, most of the changes are being brought into effect over time. Relevant regulations and policies are being or have been developed following extensive consultation and in collaboration with many representatives of the mineral exploration sector, the mining industry, Aboriginal communities and organizations, environmental groups as well as many other stakeholders, private citizens and other parties with an interest in the stewardship of Ontario's mining lands.

For more information on Mining Act Modernization, please visit the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines website at <https://www.mndm.gov.on.ca/en/mines-and-minerals/mining-act>

## Year in Review

### OMLC Caseload Management

The OMLC typically manages an average of 35 case files per year.

### OMLC Caseload 2014 – 2016\*

ACT	2014	2015	2016
Mining	21	19	15
Conservation Authority	5	13	5
Lakes and Rivers Improvement	0	0	0
Oil, Gas and Salt Resources	2	1	0
Managed Forests (Assessment Act)	0	1	0
Conservation Lands (Assessment Act)	10	9	7
Aggregate Resources	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>27</b>

\*Reporting on a 12 month period (e.g. January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016)

### Statistical Performance Reporting

Unlike metrics for adjudicative tribunals and despite ongoing efforts to be proactive, settlements and decisions can be delayed by many factors beyond the control of the OMLC. Factors that contribute to delays can include the performance of surveys during inhospitable field conditions, the requirement for title searches and obtaining other documentation, the issuance of summonses for documentation and awaiting outcomes of actions pending before other decision-makers.

Complex option and settlement agreements can involve multiple installment payments over time and/or the issuance of shares, in which case parties are reluctant to execute consents to dispose of matters until outstanding amounts are paid or shares are issued in full.

Given that the life of a mine from exploration through rehabilitation is measured in decades, it is not unusual for active cases to involve years of intensive negotiations prior to final settlement. In this regard, the time involved in disposing of many active matters before the OMLC may appear to exceed normative expectations, but within the context of the mining industry, it is not unusual or overly lengthy.

A core operating objective of the OMLC is to ensure the efficient and successful processing of files through adjudication, settlement or appropriate disposition, with all activities being conducted in a timely manner. Due to the varying nature and topics of OMLC case files, combined with the complexities of the mining industry, the establishment of formal performance targets for reporting purposes would be difficult. However, the OMLC collects and compiles detailed statistical data on caseload management that provides an accurate account of the adjudicative activities of the OMLC on a year over year basis.

### Dispute Resolution - Mediation

As the resolution of matters that proceed to a hearing can be time consuming and costly, ideally it is preferable to attempt the resolution of all matters via discussions and/or mediation, with the ultimate goal of reaching a settlement.

In an effort to explore settlement and to narrow the issues in dispute, the OMLC practices intensive, progressive case management through triage and alternative dispute resolution measures, including in-person or telephone conference call mediation sessions, pre-hearing conferences, or other methods of facilitation. The intent is to reach agreement through mediation, thereby negating the need to proceed to a hearing.

The OMLC consistently achieves significant settlement rates year over year as shown in the table below.

### OMLC Statistical Caseload Resolution Data Reporting 2014 – 2017\*

ACT	2014-2015*		2015-2016*		2016-2017*	
	S	H	S	H	S	H
Mining	20	1	19	0	15	0
Conservation Authority	5	0	12	1	5	0
Lakes and Rivers Improvement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oil, Gas and Salt Resources	2	0	1	0	0	0
Managed Forests (Assessment Act)	0	0	1	0	0	0
Conservation Lands (Assessment Act)	10	0	9	0	7	0
Aggregate Resources	0	0	0	0	0	0
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>27</b>	<b>0</b>

\*Reporting on a 15 month period as some matters commenced prior to the start of the fiscal reporting period, but were disposed of during the following reporting period. (e.g. January 1, 2016 – March 31, 2017)

(S = Cases that reached Settlement – final Order issued)

(H = Cases that proceeded to a Hearing – Decision issued)

As part of the mediation process, once an agreement to settle or dispose of a matter has been achieved, the Commissioner can issue either an Order on Consent or an Order with Reasoned Decision (Reasons). These Orders formalize the claim or dispute settlement details. The Reasons, if necessary, formalize the Order of the Commissioner, which serves as a final record of the matter.

An Order can be issued in the form of a:

#### Vesting Order

- A court order that passes legal title in lieu of conveyance by the property owners. It is an equitable remedy, discretionary, and results from a finding by a court that fairness demands that the court act in a way to transfer property from one party to another. The Mining Act allows for the unpatented mining claims of a deceased holder to be vested in their personal representative meaning the executor or the administrator of the estate. It also allows for the vesting of ownership of interests that are subject to rents on patented freehold mining leases or liable for tax on patented freehold mining lands of any delinquent co-owners(s) who are in arrears for a period of four or more consecutive years.

#### Interim or Interlocutory Order

- Often to determine a preliminary or jurisdictional matter prior to the hearing on the merits.
- May include whether or not to add third parties.

#### Final Order

- An order that disposes of a matter so that the matter is concluded.

#### Orders on Consent

- An agreement between parties who consent to the granting or the dismissal of a dispute with or without terms and conditions and with or without costs.

Often, as part of the settlement process, the OMLC will issue Orders that include an “Exclusion of Time” which can exclude the period of time in which the matter was before the Provincial Mining Recorder, the Commissioner and/or the Courts. The order will also establish a new anniversary date(s) for the performance and filing of prescribed assessment work.

## OMLC Orders Issued by the Commissioner 2014 – 2016\*

Orders Issued	2014	2015	2016
Interim Orders	31	14	10
Final Orders	38	42	27
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>**Included in above TOTAL</b>			
**Vesting Orders	4	4	5
**Orders on Consent	17	17	13
**Exclusions of Time	8	5	3

\*Reporting on a 12 month period (e.g. January 1, 2016 – December 31, 2016)

It should be noted that even with the majority of cases reaching settlements, it is necessary for the Commissioner to ensure compliance with incumbent legislation and provide reasons for findings of statutory compliance. Reasons for settlement and the process to achieve it are conducted without prejudice and are confidential. Any reasons drafted by the Commissioner must reflect this adjudicative reality.

Cases which are not resolved through settlement and proceed to a hearing can take a significant amount of time to reach their conclusion. Cases can be very complex and often involve more than one hearing and the issuance of a significant number of interlocutory orders before the final decision is rendered.

### OMLC Appeals/Applications before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice, the Court of Appeal for Ontario, or the Supreme Court of Canada

Routinely, there are a number of mining and conservation authority matters before the Ontario Superior Court of Justice (OSCJ), the Court of Appeal for Ontario (CAO), or the Supreme Court of Canada (SCC). Many of these matters have been before these courts for years and can take over a decade to reach settlement and/or conclusion. To ensure that the mining matters will be remitted to the OMLC for processing to their administrative conclusion, the OMLC tracks these applications. At their conclusion, routine but technically complex orders are prepared for the Commissioner's approval and closure of the file.

This final step of removing notations or vacating certificates of pending proceedings from abstracts of unpatented mining claims ensures that performance of annual assessment work can be resumed and administered by MNDM. Completion of this process ensures that the mining claims (that were previously before the court and unavailable) are returned to active exploration.

At the present time, thirteen (13) matters remain outstanding in the OSCJ, CAO, or the SCC.

## **Modernizing Processes and Building Capacity**

### **Revised Procedural Guidelines**

Commonly referred to as “the Rules”, the OMLC has developed a set of procedural guidelines to increase the efficiency and timeliness of proceedings through the avoidance of unnecessary delays. While these guidelines or rules are not enforceable by law, the Mining and Lands Commissioner is empowered (by the Mining Act) to make orders regarding the procedural aspect of any matter before her or him. They (the Rules) are intended to facilitate and enhance the experience of all parties to a hearing before the Mining and Lands Commissioner through transparent information sharing and open communication between all those involved. The rules were last updated in 1997.

Further to changes in technology and a continued focus on improving customer service, an extensive review of the rules was undertaken in 2017 with the intention to improve and modernize the existing set of guidelines. This review has yielded a new set of procedural guidelines which, once fully implemented, will provide a more efficient and enhanced hearing process in 2018 and beyond.

### **Recruitment of Appointees**

To improve efficiencies in service delivery leading to more timely resolution of matters, The OMLC recruits appointees (Deputy Mining and Lands Commissioner(s) – DMLCs) through a competitive and merit-based process as per the Ontario Public Service recruitment procedures. These positions are part-time and recruitment is conducted on an “as needed” basis. DMLCs are Minister’s Appointments (through Order in Council), for a three (3) year term, and are remunerated via a per-diem. Existing appointments may be renewed at the recommendation of the MLC with approval of the Minister.

To better manage the OMLC case load, the DMLCs assist the Commissioner by conducting pre-hearing conferences, settlement conferences and procedural conferences either in person or by telephone conference call. When required, DMLCs will conduct hearings, hear evidence and submissions in proceedings, and adjudicate on areas of OMLC jurisdiction.

In 2016 the OMLC hired two (2) additional DMLCs. The OMLC currently retains three (3) DMLCs. As no existing courses are available, a substantive legislative training program for the new DMLCs was developed and delivered by the OMLC in the fall of 2016.

## Financial Summary

### OMLC Expenditures 2014 – 2017

ACCOUNT ITEMS	2014-2015 (\$)	2015-2016 (\$)	2016-2017 (\$)
Salary and Wages	389,885	408,815	534,488
Employee Benefits	40,113	42,756	60,960
Transportation and Communications	9,855	9,171	14,583
Services	64,047	55,474	60,266
Supplies & Equipment	15,706	10,195	14,935
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>519,576</b>	<b>526,411</b>	<b>685,232</b>

### Fees Collected

The OMLC collects less than \$100.00 per year in fees/revenues.

## OMLC Appointees

	Original Appointment Date	Appointment End Date
<b>Commissioner</b> Linda Kamerman	July 1992	N/A
<b>Deputy Mining and Lands Commissioners</b>		
Dianne Sutter (Retired)	June 1998	September 2016
Marianne Orr	June 1998	August 2018
Andrew Halpenny	July 2016	July 2019
John O’Kane	July 2016	July 2019

## OMLC Staff

### Manager

Barry Smith

### Registrar/Mediator

Daniel Pascoe

### Administrative Coordinator

Mariyana Yankulova

### Administrative Assistant

Vacant